

*"Caring for our environment"*

Centre : **MAYO ABBEY**  
County : **MAYO**  
Category : **A**

**Results**

Date of Adjudication : 05-07-2002

	Maximum Mark	Mark Awarded 2002	Mark Awarded 2001
Overall Developmental Approach	50	29	29
The Built Environment	40	24	24
Landscaping	40	30	29
Wildlife and Natural Amenities	30	10	10
Litter Control	40	23	23
Tidiness	20	12	12
Residential Areas	30	17	16
Roads, Streets and Back Areas	40	24	24
General Impression	10	7	7
<b>TOTAL MARK</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>174</b>

## **Mayo Abbey, Co. Mayo**

### **OVERALL DEVELOPMENT APPROACH**

Your 5 year plan and maps had not been received by adjudication day. This made it difficult to adjudicate on improvements carried out to date.

### **THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT**

The Church and School are well kept. The stone work on buildings adjacent to Stephen's premises are impressive. The building beside the abbey is in bad repair and needs attention. The work carried out on the Famine Church is good.

### **LANDSCAPING**

The grass and shrubs in the Church grounds and the shrubs along the wall of the Church add colour to this area. The flowers along the roadside are effective.

### **WILDLIFE AND NATURAL AMENITIES**

It was not obvious that anything new had taken place during the year. What is needed, perhaps, is a school competition to identify all the trees and flowers, animals and birds of the area.

### **LITTER CONTROL**

This was effective in the village.

### **TIDINESS**

Not all grass verges were tidy. The statues in the Abbey need cleaning.

### **RESIDENTIAL AREAS**

The majority of houses are well kept with well tended gardens.

### **ROADS, STREET AND BACK AREAS**

The verges need to be cut. Roads are adequate.

### **GENERAL IMPRESSION**

It is important that the committee be focused, hence the importance of, at least, a three year plan with simple objectives that can be achieved. The plan can be reviewed on a yearly basis.

